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Abstract

The heart consists of densely packed muscle fibers. The orientation of these fibers can be acquired by using Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) ex-vivo. A good way to visualize the fiber structure in a cross section of the heart is by showing short line segments originating from the cross section and aligned with the local direction of the fibers. If the line segments are placed dense enough, one can see how the fiber orientations change. However, generation of the line segments takes time and thus the user has to wait for new geometry to be generated when the plane defining the cross section is changed. We present a new direct rendering method for the visualization of the 3D vector field in a 2D user-definable cross section of a heart. On the intersection of the plane with the vector field, the full 3D vectors are rendered as 3D line segments with a local ray casting approach. No preprocessing of the data is needed and no geometry is generated. This technique allows a fast inspection of the data to identify interesting areas where further analysis is necessary (e.g., quantification or generation of streamlines). We also show how the technique is generalized to other glyph shapes than line segments by implementing ellipsoids.

1. Introduction

The heart is a hollow muscle that pumps blood through the body by repeated, rhythmic contractions. As opposed to skeletal muscle, the heart contracts without being triggered by nerve impulses, and it can work continuously without fatigue. The efficiency of the heart as a pump is the result of the arrangement of the muscle fibers in the heart wall. This cardiac structure is not fully understood and has been a topic of research and discussion for at least a few hundred years. Even today it is a disputed topic \cite{AHR05}. Heart disorders can cause a change in the fibrous structure of the heart. For example, if a person survives acute cardiac ischemia, commonly known as a heart attack, a wound healing process takes place that changes the structure of the fibers in the infarcted area. Also, in non-ischemic regions, the heart wall can remodel and thicken in order to compensate for the loss of functional muscle fibers in the ischemic areas. Because the heart structure, and changes caused by heart disorders, are not fully understood, research is being done with the purpose of improving our insight in the fibrous structure of both healthy and ischemic hearts. The long-term goal of this research is to improve treatment of cardiac infarction, and to avoid heart failure that occurs when the remodelling of the heart after an infarct is not sufficient to compensate for the physiological needs of the body.

One of the tools that are used to analyze the heart is Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI). DTI is an MRI technique that measures the local diffusion of water in tissue. The diffusion in each voxel is represented by a $3 \times 3$ symmetric positive-definite tensor. Eigenanalysis can be applied to these tensors. The computed eigenvectors $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3$ and corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 > 0$ represent respectively the principal diffusion directions and the corresponding diffusion coefficients. These eigenvectors are bi-directional, but for convenience in the rest of this paper we will refer to them simply as vectors. For convenience the eigenvectors are referred to in the rest of the paper as vectors. The main diffusion direction $\vec{e}_1$ relates to the local muscle fiber orientation in each voxel of the volume. We use this vector field of $\vec{e}_1$ to visualize the fiber structure of the heart.

DTI is an improvement over conventional histological techniques, because it is not destructive and less labor-intensive \cite{JPSH04}. However, like histology, heart DTI cannot be applied \textit{in-vivo}. Because the movement of a living heart complicates a DTI scan too much, for heart research we make use of healthy and infarcted mouse and rat hearts which were scanned \textit{ex-vivo}. These scans were made for research done to improve our understanding of the structure of the heart and to improve treatment of people recovering from cardiac ischemia.

The application of DTI to the heart muscle is relatively new \cite{JPSH04} and most visualization methods for DTI focus...
on extracting important structures in the brain by using either tractography [VZKL05] or segmentation [WV05, ZTW06]. These methods cannot be applied to the heart directly because the data is of a different nature. The heart wall consists of a densely packed set of muscle fibers of which the orientation changes gradually throughout the heart wall. Tractography can be used to give a global intuition of the structure of fibers in a healthy heart, or show erratic behaviour in diseased hearts. However, tractography can easily result in a visualization that is too dense and thus suffers from occlusion. Therefore, it should be complemented by an interactive method that shows local and more detailed information. Segmentation is not possible because the fiber orientations in a healthy heart change gradually and no clear borders can be given between different parts of the heart, as is the case in the brain.

A good way to visualize the fiber structure in a cross section of the heart is by showing short lines originating from the cross section and aligned with the local direction of the fibers [PVS+HR06]. If the lines are placed dense enough, one can see how the fiber orientations change in a continuous way (see figure 1(d)).

However, in order to make up for the lack of context the user has when showing detailed local information, we propose a new technique that makes it possible for the user to interactively place a plane-of-interest (POI) that defines the cross section from which the line segments originate. With this method the user can quickly browse the data by translating and rotating the POI, and if needed, identify interesting areas where further analysis is done using e.g. quantification or tractography.

In our proposed method, no time is needed for the generation of geometry that will be rendered. On the intersection of the POI with the vector field of \( \mathbf{e}_1 \), the full 3D vectors are rendered as 3D line segments with a local ray casting approach. Existing methods that generate densely placed glyphs or short streamlines need to recompute the geometry each time the POI is changed. This causes that action to not be interactive. An additional advantage of our method is that the seeding distance and line length can be changed interactively, without the need to update geometry. Also, most glyphing techniques use less dense placement of the glyphs than what we propose. These techniques are less suitable for visualization of the heart muscle because the gradual changes in fiber orientation can not be observed easily. We apply line lighting and shadow computations in order to convey the 3D orientation and structure of the vectors to the user. This lighting and shadowing is essential to convey the structure to the user when using very dense seeding. In order to have interactive performance, we implemented both the ray casting and the lighting and shadowing on the GPU.

Our contribution is a new method for interactive visualization of the fiber structure of the heart. We apply local ray casting on the GPU to render line-segment glyphs that represent fiber orientations without generating geometry. Using this method, the user can interactively place the plane-of-interest (POI) which defines the cross section that will be visualized. We show that our method clearly outperforms geometry-based methods, if the placement of the POI has to be interactive. We also show that the method is general enough to show other glyphs than line segments by implementing ellipsoid glyphs.

In section 2 we list existing methods for visualizing DTI data and other related techniques. In section 3, we describe the method we propose in a general way. In section 4 we show how to implement the method on the GPU and give implementation details. Our results are given in section 5. Finally, in section 6, we summarize our contributions and identify directions for future research.

2. Related work

Various methods exist for visualizing DTI data. The simplest is to reduce the tensor volume to a volume of scalars by computing an anisotropy index such as, for example, Fractional Anisotropy (FA) [BP96, VZKL05] in each voxel. This scalar volume can then easily be visualized by mapping the scalar values to colors using a color lookup table. The resulting colors are then shown in a 2D cross section of the volume. The advantage is that this method is easy to implement and fast to render. The disadvantage is that a lot of information is lost. Less information is lost if the tensor field is reduced to the vector field of \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) which defines the main diffusion direction in each voxel. A popular way to visualize this field is by slicing the data and mapping the components of \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) to RGB color space (see figure 1(a)). This coloring can be combined with a weighting, e.g., by FA, in order to show more information. However, this visualization is ambiguous (different vectors can have the same color) and not intuitive.

An often used scalar index for visualization and quantification of fiber orientations in the heart is the helix angle \( \alpha_h \) [DS79]. It represents the angle between the fiber direction and the plane perpendicular to the long axis of the heart. The helix angle is visualized in one slice of a heart in figure 1(b). The major disadvantage of using \( \alpha_h \) for visualization is, again, the fact that it cannot show the full 3D vector information. Furthermore, as with the RGB color coding, color is not always an intuitive way of representing orientations.

For showing a more global overview of a DTI dataset, several methods for tracking fibers can be used [VZKL05, MCG94]. In brain DTI data, the reconstructed fibers are used as approximations for bundles of axons that connect different parts of the brain. When DTI and fiber tracking are applied to heart data, the reconstructed fibers approximate bundles of muscle fibers and can be used to show the structure of the heart [ZB03]. However, in our application, where we have densely packed fibers in the heart wall, visual clutter will be a problem. Also, preprocessing of the data is needed.
in order to acquire the streamlines that will be rendered. We want to avoid this step and make the definition of the region-of-interest (ROI) interactive. There are methods where special data structures are used to select precalculated fibers that go through interactively-defined ROIs [BBP’05, ASM’04] in the brain. However, the goal and approach in those methods is different from ours. They visualize connectivity in the brain by showing long fiber bundles going through relatively small ROIs, and we show the changes in fiber orientation in the heart by rendering short line segments in larger ROIs.

Dense and texture-based methods such as LIC [CL93] and IBFV [vW02] have been very successful in visualizing 2D vector fields. Extensions of these 2D techniques have been made to apply them to cross sections of 3D vector fields [LHD’04, SBH99]. However, these methods often project the 3D vectors on a 2D surface [SBH99]. Thus they lose the third dimension of the vectors or suffer from clutter. In our application projecting the 3D vector would easily lead to misinterpretation of the data. True 3D texture-based approaches exist [TvW03, IG97, SFCN02] where 3D textures are generated. However, often this texture generation is not interactive for large datasets (i.e. $512^3$) [HA04]. Rendering of the output volume using standard volume rendering techniques can give problems with aliasing, occlusion and the perception of line structures in the volume. There are solutions for these problems such as oversampling the input texture or blurring the output texture [HA04], injecting “opacity noise” [TvW03] and several shading techniques [HA04, WSE07]. However, in the end the visual result heavily depends on the resolutions of the textures that are used so a balance must be found between texture size and performance. Also, in flow visualization methods that rely on the rendering of a scalar volume, it is difficult to distinguish the individual line structures because only volumetric data is available.

Peeters et al. [PVStHR06] visualize the fibrous structure of the heart by rendering short line segments in the main diffusion directions in one slice of the heart (see figures 1(c) and 1(d)). In order to effectively convey the structure of the fibers, very dense seeding is used. Line lighting and shadowing is applied to the line segments, which is essential to show the coherent structure of groups of fibers. The main disadvantage of this method is that many line segments (in the order of 10K and more) must be generated. Because of this, the user cannot interactively change the plane-of-interest (POI) that defines the positions of the seed points, or other parameters such as seed-point density and line length.

There are methods for interactive and high-quality rendering of glyphs using GPU ray casting [Gum03, SWBG06]. However, those methods focus on geometrically more complex glyphs such as ellipsoids as opposed to our “simple” line segments. They render a 2D sprite for each glyph that is shown. The use of a sprite per-glyph would not be beneficial for the complexity and performance of our rendering. Therefore, we render only one bounding box that contains all our glyphs. Also, we exploit the fact that our densely packed glyphs originate from points on a square grid and determine inside the fragment shader which glyph is visible, while the other approaches use the Z-buffer for this purpose.

The method that we propose was initially inspired by relief mapping [OBM00, POC05]. Relief mapping maps a relief texture to a surface. The relief texture contains a displacement in the direction orthogonal to the surface in each texel. The surface with the relief texture can be rendered in real-time by applying ray casting in the fragment shader on the GPU [POC05].

Although relief mapping inspired us, we cannot use this approach directly. We cannot convert the dense field of lines that we render into a relief map. Relief maps only support displacements orthogonal to the surface, while our line segments can have any orientation. Furthermore, a step-based
3. Ray casting vectors in a plane

Our goal is to render a dense set of simple glyphs (i.e. line segments) that originate from a user-specified cross section defined by a plane-of-interest (POI). The seed points that are used as the origins for the line segments are implicitly defined by the POI and a user-specified seed-distance $d_s$.

We do not do any rendering calculations on the CPU. First, the whole vector volume is loaded into the GPU memory as a 3D texture. Then, we render a bounding box around all the line segments originating from the user-defined POI. As a result of this, a fragment shader is called for each of the pixels that potentially has to show a part of the data to be visualized.

For each pixel, we have the following rendering steps:

1. Determine the view-ray $V = V + \hat{v}$. $V$ is the camera position and $\hat{v}$ is the normalized view direction.
2. Select the seed points $S$ on the POI that are in range for $V$.
3. Compute intersections $I$ of the glyphs originating from $S$ with view-ray $V$.
4. Render the glyph with intersection $I \in I$ closest to the camera position $V$. This includes lighting and shadow computations.

We know the camera position $V$ and the intersection of the POI and $V$, so step 1 is straightforward. Steps 2–4 are further explained in sections 3.1 to 3.3. Algorithm details that depend on the type of glyph (line segments or ellipsoids) are given in sections 4.2 and 4.3.

3.1. Select seed points in range

The line segments that will render originate from seed points in the POI. The POI is defined by three vertices $O$, $P_1$ and $P_2$ where $OP_1$ is orthogonal to $OP_2$ (see figure 2). We do not explicitly generate vertices to be used as seed points, but we use the seed distance $d_s$ and place the seed points on a square grid on the POI. In order to select the seed points $S$ that have a line segment that potentially intersects the view-ray $V$ we need to know the intersection point $P$ of $V$ and the POI, and the angle between view direction $\hat{v}$ and the normal $\vec{n}$ of the POI. This is illustrated in figure 2.

For the computation of $P$ we use the following equation for the POI:

$$ P : n_x \vec{x} + n_y \vec{y} + n_z \vec{z} + d = 0 \quad (1) $$

where $(n_x, n_y, n_z)^T = \vec{n}$ and $d$ is the distance from the POI to the point $(0, 0, 0)$ in world coordinates. In the intersection point $P$ we have $(\vec{n} + \mu \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{n} + d = 0$. From this, we can compute $\mu$ as follows:

$$ \mu = \frac{-\vec{n} \cdot V + d}{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}} \quad (2) $$

If $V$ is parallel to the POI we have $\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$ and $\mu = \infty$. However, as we will show below, the range of seed points to be taken into account is also $\infty$ in this case, so no seed points will be missed.

The length of the glyphs is given by $h$. So we only need to take those seed points $S$ into account where the distance $d(S, V)$ between the seed point $S$ and the view ray $V$ is at most $h$. We know that for $|\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}| = 1$, we only need to look at those seed points that are inside the circle on the POI with origin $P$ and radius $h$. However, if the viewing direction is not orthogonal to the plane, we need to look in a larger area. An upper initial bound for the area of the seed points is a circle with radius $h/|\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}|$. When $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ the result is $\infty$. In the implementation this is not a problem because we only look at seed points that are inside the boundaries of the POI and the input tensor field.

We now have initial bounds for which seed points are possibly in the range for $V$. For each row of seed points, we compute which are the first and last seed point that is range for $V$. Then we only take those seed points and the ones in between them into account. Details of this algorithm are given in section 4.1.

Figure 2: Illustration of the plane-of-interest (POI). The figure shows some seed points in the lower-left of the POI. The seed points cover the whole plane. Vectors $\vec{s}_1$ and $\vec{s}_2$ are shown that are used to iterate over all seed points. They are parallel to vectors $\vec{OP}_1$, $\vec{OP}_2$ which are also shown. Furthermore, we show camera position $V$, view direction $\vec{v}$, POI normal $\vec{n}$ and the radius $h/|\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}|$ of the circle to select the seed points in the range of the view-ray.
3.2. Compute glyph – view-ray intersections

Next, we need to know which glyph is visible in the current pixel, \( S \) is the collection of seed points with \( d(S, V) \leq h \) for all \( S \in S \). For each seed point \( S \in S \) we run the following algorithm:

- If \( V \) does not intersect glyph \( \mathcal{G}(S) \), discard the seed point.
- Otherwise, calculate the intersection point \( I \) of \( V \) and \( \mathcal{G}(S) \).

We do this for each \( S \in S \) and keep track of the seed point for which \( ||S - V|| \) is the smallest. That is, for which seed point \( I = V + \mu \tilde{l} \) has the smallest \( \mu \geq 0 \). Thus, we select that glyph and render it with the proper lighting and shadowing.

3.3. Shadowing

If we render the line segments using a color that does not depend on its neighborhood then it becomes impossible to distinguish different line segments that are very close to each other (see figure 1(c)). We use shadowing to avoid this (see figure 1(d)). In order to determine for each fragment whether it is in direct light or in shadow, we repeat the algorithms given in sections 3.1 and 3.2. However, now we use the light-ray \( L \) instead of the view-ray:

\[
\bar{L}(\mu) = L + \mu \tilde{l}
\]

where \( L \) is the light position, and \( \tilde{l} \) the light-ray direction. Thus, we replace the view direction \( \bar{v} \) by the light direction \( \bar{v} = \frac{I - L}{||I - L||} \), and \( E \) by \( L \). We again compute the glyph with the smallest distance \( ||I - L|| \) from the intersection to the light source. If we acquire the same line segment as the one that is the closest to \( V \) in the current fragment, then it is directly lighted. Otherwise, there is another line segment inbetween the light source and the one that we are currently rendering. In that case, it is in shadow.

In the lighting equation, we use lower values for the ambient and diffuse light coefficients for fragments that are in shadow to make the shadowed fragments darker. Also we set the specular component to 0 to avoid specular highlights in shadow.

4. Algorithm details

We implemented our method as a mapper in the Visualization ToolKit (VTK) [SML04] and integrated it in with our DTI-visualization tool called DTITool that is used by our collaborators to do heart and brain research. Because of this integration, we can combine our new method with other visualizations such as color-coded planes and fiber tracking, which is shown in figures 4-6. The mapper has as input the vector volume, and a vtkPlaneWidget. The vtkPlaneWidget defines the plane-of-interest (POI) and can be interactively rotated, translated and scaled by the user. Furthermore, the user can set the seed distance \( d_s \). The shader programs that run on the GPU are written in OpenGL Shading Language (GLSL).

First, we do eigenalysis to compute the eigenvectors. We then load the main vectors in GPU memory as a 3D RGB float texture. The XYZ-components of the vectors are stored in the RGB-components of the texture. To avoid interpolation problems, on the GPU, we make use of nearest neighbor interpolation of the vectors.

In section 4.1, we give implementation details about how relevant seed points are selected in the fragment shader. In section 4.2, we explain how to do intersection and lighting for line segments. In order to show that our method is easily adapted to other glyphs than line segments, in section 4.3, we show how to do the intersection and lighting for ellipsoids.

4.1. Seed-point selection

For the representation of the POI as described in section 3.1 we pass the origin \( O \) and two points \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) with orthogonal vectors \( \vec{OP}_1 \) and \( \vec{OP}_2 \) (see figure 2) as uniform variables to the shaders. We also compute seeding step vectors \( \vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2 \) parallel to respectively \( \vec{OP}_1 \) and \( \vec{OP}_2 \) with length \( d_s \) that will be used to go from one seeding position to the next.

We showed in section 3.1 that the seed points with a distance larger than \( h/(\bar{v} \cdot \bar{n}) \) to the intersection \( P \) of the view ray and the POI do not need to be taken into account. In the fragment shader, we use this to compute the number of steps in directions \( \vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2, -\vec{s}_1 \) and \( -\vec{s}_2 \) that need to be taken from the seed point closest to \( P \) in order to iterate over all the seed points by:

\[
\text{NumSteps} = \left\lfloor \frac{h/d_s}{|\bar{n} \cdot \bar{v}|} \right\rfloor \quad \text{if} \quad \bar{n} \cdot \bar{v} \neq 0
\]

\[
\text{NumSteps} = \infty \quad \text{if} \quad \bar{n} \cdot \bar{v} = 0
\]

Each seed point \( S \) can be written as \( S = O + i\vec{s}_1 + j\vec{s}_2 \) with
integer values \( i \) and \( j \). The initial ranges for \( i \) and \( j \) are given by \( i \in [\min_i, \max_i] \), \( j \in [\min_j, \max_j] \) as determined by the calculation of NumSteps described above, and bounded by the size of the POI and of the input volume. We iterate over the selected seed points in a nested loop. To avoid handling points that are out-of-range for the view ray \( V \), in the inner loop, we compute the range for \( i \) to have only seed points with a distance of at most \( h \) to \( V \). We define \( L \) as the cylinder with axis \( V \) and radius \( h \) (see figure 3). Then we iterate over the seed points that are in range as follows:

\[
\text{float } \mu \text{range}[2]; \text{ float } \mu \text{range}[2];
\]

\[
\text{for } (j = \min_j; j \leq \max_j; j = j + 1)
\]

\[
\{ \quad \text{point } R = O + j \ast \vec{s}_2; \quad \text{line } L(\mu) = R + \mu \vec{s}_1/|\vec{s}_1|; \quad \text{float } d = \text{minimal distance between } V \text{ and } L; \quad \text{float } \mu = \text{argument of } L(\mu) \text{ in the point where } L \text{ is closest to } V. \\
\text{If } (d \leq h)
\]

\[
\{ \quad \text{Compute the values of } \mu \text{ where } L \text{ intersects } C: \quad \text{vec } \vec{n} = (\vec{s}_1 \times \vec{v})/|\vec{s}_1 \times \vec{v}|; \quad \text{vec } \vec{o} = (\vec{s}_1 - \vec{n})/|\vec{s}_1 - \vec{n}|; \quad \text{float } t = (h^2 - d^2)/(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{o}); \quad \text{float } \mu \text{range}[2] = \{\mu_d - t, \mu_d + t\}; \quad \text{If there is only one intersection, then } t = 0 \text{ and } \mu \text{range}[0] = \mu \text{range}[1]. \quad \mu \text{range}[1] = \max(\min_i, \cell(\mu \text{range}[1])); \quad \text{for } (i = \mu \text{range}[0]; i < \mu \text{range}[1]; i = i + 1)
\]

\[
\{ \quad \text{Handle seed point } S = R + i \ast \vec{s}_1 + j \ast \vec{s}_2; \\
\}
\]

Using this algorithm, texture lookups and distance calculations are only done for the seed points with a distance of at most \( h \) to the view-ray \( V \). Thus, we use the optimal search area for seed points that can be the origin of glyphs that intersect \( V \).

### 4.2. Intersection and lighting of line segments

Because lines are infinitesimally thin, the chance that a line intersects with the view ray is infinitesimally small. Therefore, we assign a thickness \( r \) to the lines, where \( r \) depends on the distance to \( V \). Because the lines that we render have a length \( h \) and are not infinitely long, we also incorporate \( h \) in our algorithm. In order to find the line segment that is visible in the current fragment, for each seed point \( S \) in the set of preselected seed points, \( S \), we run the following algorithm:

- \( \vec{w} \) is the eigenvector at position \( S \) of the input volume
- Compute the closest distance \( d \) between line \( W(\mu) = S + \mu \vec{w} \) and \( V \). The algorithm is given in appendix A.
- If \( d > r \) then the line can be discarded.
- If \( d \leq r \), but not in a point \( Q \) where \(|Q - S| \leq h \), then the line can be discarded because it would need a length larger than \( h \) to be visible.
- Otherwise, the current line is considered to intersect the view ray.

The line that will be visible in the current pixel is the line that has the intersection \( I \) with the view ray that is the closest to the camera position \( V \). Thus, we select that line and render it with the proper lighting and shadowing.

In the Phong lighting model [Pho75], the light intensity \( g \) at a point on a surface, follows the equation:

\[
g = k_a + k_d(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{l}) + k_s(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{l})^p (4)
\]

The material-specific values of \( k_a, k_d, k_s \) and \( p \) are the ambient, diffuse and specular coefficients, and the specular component or shininess. Vector \( \vec{n} \) is the normal at the surface point. \( \vec{l} \) is the direction of the light, \( \vec{v} \) is the view direction, and \( \vec{r} \) is the reflection of \( \vec{l} \) at \( \vec{n} \). Vectors \( \vec{n}, \vec{l}, \vec{v}, \vec{r} \) have unit length.

This model cannot be applied to illuminate lines directly, because lines do not have a single normal \( \vec{n} \), but a plane of normals perpendicular to the tangent direction \( \vec{n} \). This problem can be resolved by choosing for \( \vec{n} \) the vector in the normal plane that maximizes \((\vec{l} \cdot \vec{n})\) and \((\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n})\) in Eq. (4). To avoid explicit calculation of the optimal \( \vec{n} \), the following equations can be used [SZH97]:

\[
\vec{l} \cdot \vec{n} = \sqrt{1 - (\vec{l} \cdot \vec{w})^2} (5)
\]

\[
\vec{v} \cdot \vec{r} = (\vec{l} \cdot \vec{n})/\sqrt{1 - (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w})^2 - (\vec{l} \cdot \vec{w})^2(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w})} (6)
\]

Using Eq. (5) and (6), the calculation of \( g \) in Eq. (4) is implemented directly in the fragment shader. We also update the current fragment depth, which is stored in the Z-buffer, such that our rendered line segments integrate correctly with other objects in the scene.

### 4.3. Intersection and lighting of ellipsoids

In order to show that our method is general enough to deal with other glyph-shapes than line-segments, we implemented ellipsoid glyphs. Below, we explain how to handle view-ray–glyph intersection and lighting if we have ellipsoid glyphs. Other parts of the algorithm do not depend on the type of glyphs that we choose to render.

The equation of an axes-aligned ellipsoid is:

\[
\frac{x^2}{r_x^2} + \frac{y^2}{r_y^2} + \frac{z^2}{r_z^2} = 1 (7)
\]

where \( r_{x,y,z} \) are the radii of the ellipsoid in the \( x, y \) and \( z \) directions. In order to compute the intersection of the view-ray \( V(\mu) = V + \mu \vec{n} \) with the ellipsoid, we fill in \( V + \mu \vec{n} \) for
where \( S \) is the center of the ellipsoid. The number of solutions depends on the value of \( D \). For \( D \geq 0 \), the closest intersection has 

\[
\mu = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{D}}{2a}, \quad \text{where } D = b^2 - 4ac \quad (8)
\]

where

\[
a = \sum_{i \in \{x,y,z\}} r_i v_i^2 \quad (9)
\]

\[
b = \sum_{i \in \{x,y,z\}} 2r_i (V_i - S_i) v_i \quad (10)
\]

\[
c = \sum_{i \in \{x,y,z\}} r_i (V_i - S_i)^2 \quad (11)
\]

\[
d = \sum_{i \in \{x,y,z\}} r_i (V_i - S_i)^2 \quad (12)
\]

In order to compute the normal \( \vec{n}_c \) of the ellipsoid in the intersection point \( I \), we first compute the vector pointing from \( S \) to \( I \). This would be the proper normal \( \vec{n}_i \), if we were dealing with a simple sphere. However, because we have an ellipsoid, we compute the derivative of \((x^2/r_x + y^2/r_y + z^2/r_z - 1)\) in the local coordinate system:

\[
\vec{n}_i = R_S^T (2M(R_S, \vec{n}_i)) \quad (13)
\]

where \( M \) is the diagonal matrix of the inverse ellipsoid radii, thus \( M_{ii} = 1/r_i \) for \( i \in \{x,y,z\} \) and \( M_{ij} = 0 \) if \( i \neq j \). \( R_S^T \) is the transpose of rotation matrix \( R_S \). Vector \( \vec{n}_c \) can be used in standard lighting calculations.

5. Results

We applied the proposed visualization to a series of healthy and infarcted mouse hearts. Four datasets were available of healthy hearts. For infarcted hearts we had 5, 4, and 5 datasets measured respectively at 7, 14 and 28 days after infarction. Each heart is only a few millimeters long and the scanning resolution was \( 117 \times 117 \times 234 \mu m^3 \). Each dataset has \( 128 \times 128 \times 64 \) voxels. We also used 7 healthy rat heart datasets with dimensions varying from \( 64 \times 64 \times 128 \) to \( 96 \times 96 \times 128 \) voxels.

We visualized the data with our proposed technique and compared it with a previous method that generates geometry [PVStHR06]. First, we analyze the visual results in section 5.1 and then we give performance measurements and a comparison in section 5.2.

5.1. Visual aspects

Figure 4 shows a short-axis cross section of a healthy mouse heart. The visualization shows how the fiber orientation changes in the heart wall. We applied RGB coloring of the fiber orientation to the fibers. We also applied it to the textured plane showing a coronal cross section in the background. The user can enable the plane widget that can be used to modify the POI by translating and rotating it. The line segments originating from the POI are immediately visible while interacting with the POI. For 2D images, colored cross sections can be more clear, but when the user has the possibility to interact with the scene, our visualization conveys the fiber structure in a more intuitive way.

The results of the proposed method look the same as the method that generates geometry [PVStHR06]. To illustrate this, figure 5 shows a cross section using geometry, as well as a cross section rendered using our new method. The top-most short-axis cross section (1) uses short line segments that were rendered as geometry. The bottom short-axis slice (2) shows fiber orientations as colors using \( \alpha_{\theta} \) coloring (as described in section 2). Note that for neither method shadows cast by other geometry is supported. The third slice (3) was placed freely using our interactive POI and is close to a long-axis cross section. It was rendered using our proposed method. In order to distinguish the two methods for rendering line segments, we applied tone shading to the geometry-
Figure 5: Three cross sections of a healthy mouse heart visualized with three different methods. (1) Short line segments rendered as geometry. (2) Color-coding of $\alpha_h$ shown as a texture on a plane. (3) Short line segments rendered with our new method.

Based rendering to give it a different color. The seeding distance and fiber length was the same for both methods.

Besides a comparison of the two methods, figure 5 also shows how our new method integrates well with geometry. Because for each fragment that we render, we send the correct depth to the depth buffer, depth-based visibility is automatically dealt with by the GPU. This figure shows that it combines well with line segments and with a textured plane that is transparent where no fibers are present. But it works for any geometry that is rendered in the same scene.

Figure 6 shows a short-axis slice of an infarcted mouse heart. The fiber orientations were rendered using ellipsoids as described in section 4.3. An exact border for the infarct can not be given, but in the infarcted area the heart wall is thinner than normally. Also, the fiber orientations are less structured. We show this by visualizing fibers tracked using streamline tracing in a healthy and an infarcted area. The difference in how well aligned fiber orientations are locally is also visible from the ellipsoids that we render. In the infarcted area (lower-right) there is no apparent structure, while in, e.g., the left of the image the ellipsoids are nicely aligned and their orientation changes smoothly when going through the heart wall.

5.2. Performance

We compared the performance of the proposed method to the performance of a method where geometry is generated. The results are shown in table 1. The measurements were taken such that the whole rendered scene is visible in the current viewport, and covering more than half the screen area (similar to what is shown in the figures). If we zoom very far such that only a few dozen glyphs fill the viewport, the performance drops because coverage of screen space by the glyphs increases, but not lower than 10–15 FPS. When zooming out the performance increases, with an upper limit of about 100 FPS. Performance doubles if shadows are disabled.

Although the methods we used for generating and rendering geometry were not optimized, it can be seen that the two different rendering approaches are competitive when it comes to rendering performance. However, if the user wants to change the POI or properties of the lines or ellipsoids that are being rendered, then new geometry needs to be generated which currently takes a waiting time in the order of seconds. With our proposed ray casting method, this step is not needed so we clearly outperform geometry-based methods there.

The performance of the geometry-based method can be improved, for example by making use of geometry shaders. However, the seed points will still need to be generated on CPU. Also, for rendering ellipsoids with a high visual quality, a lot of vertices are needed and thus a geometry-based approach will not outperform our ray-casting approach.

6. Conclusions and future work

Our main contribution is a new GPU-based ray casting technique for interactively visualizing cross sections of the heart, which consists of densely-packed muscle fibers. This cross section can be chosen interactively by the user by moving and rotating a plane-of-interest (POI). In the POI, the full 3D fiber orientations are visualized as short lines or ellipsoids, using proper lighting and shadowing. This enables the user to quickly inspect a volume of vectors derived from a DTI scan of mouse hearts. For this application, it is very important that the seeding is very dense in order to show the gradual change in fiber orientation throughout the heart wall. It is also important that the user can interactively place the POI. The proposed method outperforms the approach where geometry is generated, and can be used for fast inspection of the data to identify interesting areas where further analysis is necessary. It can be used, for example, to select areas where quantification (e.g., statistics of fiber orientation or FA in healthy vs. infarcted areas) is done or where to place seed points to initiate fiber tracking. An additional advantage of our technique is that it allows interactive changes in parameters, such as seeding density and line-segment length, without the need to generate geometry.

It would be a useful extension to support triangles to de-
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Figure 6: Our new rendering method using ellipsoids with fixed shape to show the fiber orientations in the cross section of an infarcted heart, which was scanned 28 days after the infarct. We also tracked fibers from two different seeding areas. The resulting fibers were rendered as thin tubes and RGB coloring of the fiber orientation was used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>dataset</th>
<th>Number of seeds</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>Generate geometry (s)</th>
<th>Render performance (FPS)</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ray cast lines</td>
<td>mouseheart</td>
<td>10K</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>35–50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geometry lines</td>
<td>mouseheart</td>
<td>10K</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28–30</td>
<td>1(d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ray cast lines</td>
<td>mouseheart</td>
<td>27K</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geometry lines</td>
<td>mouseheart</td>
<td>27K</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25–28</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ray cast ellipse</td>
<td>infarcted</td>
<td>8K</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20–35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geometry ellipse</td>
<td>infarcted</td>
<td>8K</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Performance measurements for rendering line segments and ellipsoids in several datasets with different methods. Rendering was done on a PC with an Intel Pentium 4 3.20 GHz CPU, 3 GB RAM and a GeForce 8800 GTX graphics card with 768 MB of memory. The rendering viewport was 1024 × 768 pixels. The mouseheart and infarcted datasets both have dimensions of 128 × 128 × 64 voxels. The generated ellipses for method “geometry ellipse” have 64 vertices per glyph. The value of h is the length of the line segments or the largest radius of the ellipsoids.
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Appendix A: Closest points between two lines

The closest points of two lines \( L_0 = P_0 + s\vec{d}_0 \) and \( L_1 = P_1 + t\vec{d}_1 \) can be calculated as follows [SE02]:

\[
L_0 = P_0 + s\vec{d}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_1 = P_1 + t\vec{d}_1
\]
\( \vec{a} = P_0 - P_1; \)
\( a = d_0; \quad d_0; \quad b = d_0; \quad c = \vec{d}_1; \quad d = \vec{d}_0; \quad e = \vec{d}_1; \quad f = \vec{a} - \vec{b}; \)
\( g = ac - bb; \)

// Check for (near) paralllellism
if \((g < \epsilon)\) // Small \(\epsilon\)
\( s = 0; \)
// Choose largest denominator
// to minimize numerical errors
if \((b > c)\) \( t = d/b; \)
else \( t = e/c; \)
\}
\}

By filling out \( s \) and \( t \) in the equations of \( \mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1 \) we can compute the closest points \( Q_0, Q_1 \) of the two lines, and their distance \( d_q = ||Q_1 - Q_0||. \) In our case, if \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) is the line that we want to render, we only take the line into account if \( t(\vec{d}_1; \quad \vec{d}_1) \leq h \) and if \( d_q \leq r. \)

References


submitted to COMPUTER GRAPHICS Forum (12/2008).


